UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1: 10 md 2197

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANTPRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

ANTHONY MATTESSICH and PATRICIA MATTESSICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., DePUY INC., DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC. JOHNSON & JOHNSON INTERNATIONAL,

Defendants

ABBREVIATED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

1. Plaintiff(s), Anthony Mattessich and Patricia Mattessich, state and bring this civil action before the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio as a related action in the matter entitled <u>IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS</u>, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION, MDL No. 2197. Plaintiff is filing this short form complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 2 of this Court.

ALLEGATIONS AS TO VENUE

- 2. Venue of this case is appropriate in the <u>United States</u> District Court of the <u>State of for the Northern District of New York</u>. Plaintiff states that but for the Order permitting direct filing into the Northern District of Ohio pursuant to Case Management Order No. 2, Plaintiff would have filed in the <u>United States</u> District Court of the <u>State of for the Northern District for the New York</u>. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that at the time of transfer of this action back to the trial court for further proceedings that this case be transferred to the above referenced District Court.
- 3. Plaintiff <u>Anthony Mattessich</u> is a resident and citizen of <u>Baldwinsville</u>, <u>New York</u> and claims damages as set forth below. Plaintiff's Spouse <u>Patricia Mattessich</u>, is a resident and citizen of <u>Baldwinsville</u>, <u>New York</u>, and claims damages as a result of loss of consortium. [Cross out Spousal Claim if Not Applicable]
 - 4. Plaintiff was born on 1949.
- 5. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the ______ of the _____ having been duly appointed as the _____ by the _____ Court of

[Cross out if Not Applicable] A copy of the Letters					
Administration for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are requir					
for the commencement of such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate					
court of the jurisdiction of the decedent.					
Plaintiff claims damages as a result of:					
xinjury to herself/himself					
injury to the person represented					
wrongful death					
survivorship action					
<u>x</u> economic loss					
xloss of services					
x loss of consortium					
ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES					
6. Plaintiff was implanted with a DePuy ASR hip implant on his/her <u>left</u> h					
on or about March 26, 2007 at the St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center, in Syracuse, Ne					
York, by Dr. Brett Greenky.					
7. Plaintiff was implanted with a DePuy ASR hip implant on his/h					
hip on or about (date) at the (medical center),					
,, by Dr [Cross out if not bilateral ASR hips]					
8. On or about May 2009, and thereafter, Plaintiff suffered the following					
personal and economic injur(ies) as a result of the implantation with the ASR h					
implant: pain, discomfort, soreness, and a shifting sensation when bending or raising for					

Plaintiff had the ASR hip implant explanted on

9.

				apiani empianica	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
of Plaintiff has not yet scheduled an explantation of the ASR hip implant. *[Cross out inapplicable sections]* 10. Plaintiff had the ASR hip implant explanted on, at (medical center and address) by Dr or Plaintiff will be having the ASR hip explanted on or about 11. Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully		_(medical center and	address) by Dr.	-	or Plaintiff will
10. Plaintiff had the ASR hip implant explanted on, at (medical center and address) by Dr or Plaintiff will be having the ASR hip explanted on or about 11. Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully	be having the	ASR hip exp	planted on or abou	at	
10. Plaintiff had theASR hip implant explanted on, at	or Plaintiff has	s not yet scheduled a	n explantation of	f the ASR hip in	mplant. <i>[Cross out</i>
	inapplicable se	ections]			
be having theASR hip explanted on or about 11. Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully	10.	Plaintiff had the	ASR hip in	nplant explanted	on, at
11. Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully		_(medical center and	address) by Dr.		or Plaintiff will
explantation of the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully	be having the _	ASR hip exp	lanted on or abou	t	·
	11.	Plaintiff(s) has suff	ered injuries as	s a result of	implantation and
set forth in Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the	explantation of	the DePuy ASR hip i	mplant manufact	ured by defendar	nts as shall be fully
	set forth in P	laintiff's Fact Sheet	and other respo	nsive document	s provided to the

12. At the time of implantation with the ASR hip implant, the Plaintiff resided at 7544 Haney Road, Baldwinsville, NY 13027.

[Address, city, state, zip]

defendants and are incorporated by reference herein.

- 13. The defendants by their actions or inactions, proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 14. The plaintiff could not have known that the injuries he/she suffered were as a result of a defect in the ASR hip implant until after the date the device was recalled from the market and the plaintiff came to learn of the recall.
- 15. The plaintiff could not have known that he/she was injured by excessive levels of chromium and cobalt until after the date he/she had his/her blood drawn and he/she was advised of the results of said blood-work.

16. As a result of the injuries Plaintiff(s) sustained, he/she/they are entitled to recover compensatory damages for pain and suffering and emotional distress (if applicable) and for economic loss as well as punitive damages.

ALLEGATIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

- 17. The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein adopted by reference:
 - <u>x</u> FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE);
 - _x SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE PER SE);
 - _x_ THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
 (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-DEFECTIVE DESIGN)
 - <u>x</u> FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-MANUFACTURING DEFECT);
 - _x_ FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-FAILURE TO WARN);
 - _x_ SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY);
 - X SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
 (BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO MERCHANTABILITY)
 - <u>x</u> EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES);
 - <u>x</u> NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION);
 - _x_ TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT);

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION _X_ (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION); TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION _X_ (FRAUD AND DECEIT); THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION _X (UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER STATE LAW); FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION <u>X</u> (MISREPRESENTATION BY OMISSION); x FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD); SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION <u>X</u> (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS); <u>x</u> EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (GROSS NEGLIGENCE/MALICE); x NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM); TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION _X_ (PUNITIVE DAMAGES) _<u>X</u>_ TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (MEDICAL MONITORING) TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION X (VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE STATE CONSUMER FRAUD STATUTE) - SPECIFY THE STATUTE ALLEGED N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law §§ 349 et seg. and 350-e et seg. TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION __X (RESTITUTION OF ALL PURCHASE COSTS AND DISGORGEMENT OF ALL PROFITS FROM MONIES THAT PLAINTIFF INCURRED IN

PURCHASE OF THE HIP IMPLANT)

PLAINTIFF(S) ASSERT(S) THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL STATE CAUSES OF
ACTION:

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages requested and according to proof;
- 2. For punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants;
- For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- 5. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- 6. For prejudgment interest and the costs of suit; and
- For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper;

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Respectfully submitted Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

Date: March 28, 2012

By: /s/ Kendra Goldhirsch
KENDRA GOLDHIRSCH

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Phone: (212) 558-5500 Fax: (212) 344-5461

Email: kgoldhirsch@weitzlux.com